

**APPENDIX F:**  
**Public Scoping Meeting Materials**

+ 3 non-signing



**Scoping Meeting Sign-In Sheet**  
**Project Name:** Smith - West Garrard 345 kV  
**Transmission Line and Switching Station**  
**Date:** July 11, 2006  
**Time:** 3:00 PM - 7:00 PM

Name/Address	
1 Mrs. Mrs. Tavis E. Puro	114 Redwood Dr. Richmond Ky 40425-8614
2 Fred Logan Simpson	2393 Sugar Creek Rd. Lancaster Ky 40444
3 Colin Reusch	106 Eastern Hills Dr. Richmond Ky 40475
4 Jim Candice	325 Tanager Ridge Ln. Winchester, Ky 40391
5 Cynthia T. Stover	3036 Troquais Trail Richmond Ky 40475
6 John Lackey	134 W. Blain Richmond Ky 40475
7 David Spruiell	3299 College Hill Waco, Ky 40385
8 David Rhodes	1723 V.E. Rd. Richmond, Ky 40475
9 Dick Fogarty	1405 Summit Rd. Lancaster, Ky 40444
10 Chris Fogarty	375 Concord Rd. Richmond, Ky 40475
11 David Packer	Sam Joases Rd. Richmond, Ky 40475
12 John W. Winesapen	1107 Bristol Dr. Richmond Ky 40475
13 May Ann Helton	311 Jackson Creek Rd. Richmond, Ky 40475
14 Charles Helton	" "
15 Jimmy Anderson	3381 Amy Rogers Rd. Lancaster, Ky 40444
Raven Anderson	" "



Scoping Meeting Sign-In Sheet

Project Name: Smith - West Garrard 345 kV  
Transmission Line and Switching Station

Date: July 11, 2006

Time: 3:00 PM - 7:00 PM

Name/Address

16	STEVE MARIONNEAUX	308 WILLOWDALE DR.	RICHMOND	40473
17	Meris & Tim Greas	589 Blackett Pike	Richmond	40475
18	DAVID BRANNON	2119 DUPUY STREET	Richmond	40475
19	LARRY P. JONES	200 Bennett St.	Richmond	40475
20	R. T. Taylor	111 Richmond Dr.	''	''
21	R. Campbell	800 Box 603	LANCASTER KY	40444
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				



### Comments/Questions

U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development,  
Utilities Programs (Rural Utilities Service)  
Scoping Meeting

Smith – West Garrard 345kV Transmission Line and Switching  
Station Project  
Best Western Holiday Plaza, Richmond, KY  
July 11, 2006

Please Mail before August 10th

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Optional: Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

If you would like to take this form with you, please mail to:


Stephanie A. Strength  
USDA, Rural Utilities Service,  
Engineering & Environmental Staff  
1400 Independence Ave. SW  
Mail Stop 1571, Room 2244  
Washington, DC 20250-1570

202-720-0468 or [stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov](mailto:stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov)


For further information please visit: <http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/ea.htm>

Place  
Stamp  
Here


Stephanie A. Strength  
USDA, Rural Utilities Service,  
Engineering & Environmental Staff  
1400 Independence Ave. SW  
Mail Stop 1571, Room 2244  
Washington, DC 20250-1570












































## Kentucky Transmission Line Siting Model

Engineering Environment		Natural Environment		Built Environment	
<b>Linear Infrastructure</b>	<b>86.2%</b>	<b>Floodplain</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>Proximity to Buildings</b>	<b>16.8%</b>
Parallel Existing Transmission Lines	1	Background	1	Background	1
Rebuild Existing Transmission Lines (good)	2.2	100 Year Floodplain	9	900 - 1200'	3.4
Background	4.4	<b>Streams/Wetlands</b>	<b>29.2%</b>	600 - 900'	5.7
Parallel Interstates ROW	4.7	Background	1	300 - 600'	8
Parallel Roads ROW	5.4	Streams < 5cfs + Regulatory Buffer	6.2	0 - 300'	9
Parallel Pipelines	5.6	Rivers/Streams > 5cfs + Regulatory Buffer	7.1	<b>Building Density</b>	<b>8.4%</b>
Future DOT Plans	5.6	Wetlands + 30' Buffer	8.7	0 - 0.05 Buildings / acre	1
Parallel Railway ROW	6.1	Outstanding State Resource Waters	9	0.05 - 0.20 Buildings / acre	3
Road ROW	7.2	<b>Public Lands</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	0.20 - 1.00 Buildings / acre	5.6
Rebuild Existing Transmission Lines (bad)	8.6	Background	1	1.00 - 4.00 Buildings / acre	8.5
Scenic Highway ROW	9	WMA - Not State Owned	5.1	> 4.00 Buildings / acre	9
<b>Slope</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	USFS (proclamation area)	6.2	<b>Proposed Development</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
Slope 0 - 15%	1	Other Conservation Land	7.8	Background	1
Slope 15 - 30%	4	USFS (actually owned)	9	Proposed Development	9
Slope 30 - 40%	6.7	State Owned Conservation Land	9	<b>Spannable Lakes and Ponds</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
Slope > 40%	9	<b>Land Cover</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	Background	1
<b>AVOIDANCE AREAS</b> Non-Spannable Waterbodies Mines and Quarries (active) Buildings Airports Military Facilities Center Pivot Irrigation		Developed Land	1	Spannable Lakes and Ponds	9
		Agriculture	4.6	<b>Land Use</b>	<b>35.9%</b>
		Forestes	9	Commercial/Industrial	1
		<b>Wildlife Habitat</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	Agriculture (crops)	3.5
		Background	1	Agriculture (other livestock)	4.6
		Species of Concern Habitat	9	Siviculture	6
		<b>AVOIDANCE AREAS</b> EPA Superfund Sites State and National Parks USFS Wilderness Area Wild/Scenic Rivers Wildlife Refuge State Nature Preserves Designated Critical Habitat		Other (forest)	6.7
				Agritourism (horse farms)	8
				Residential	9
				<b>Proximity to Eligible Historic and Archeological Sites</b>	<b>31.0%</b>
				Background	1
				900 - 1200'	4.6
				600 - 900'	7.9
				0 - 300'	8.6
				300 - 600'	9
				<b>AVOIDANCE AREAS</b> Listed Archaeology Sites and Districts Listed NRHP Districts and Buildings City and County Parks Day Care Parcels Cemetery Parcels School Parcels (K-12) Church Parcels	



**EPRI** | ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE



**APPENDIX G:**  
**Public Comments and Summary**



## Comments/Questions

U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development,  
Utilities Programs (Rural Utilities Service)  
Scoping Meeting

Smith – West Garrard 345kV Transmission Line and Switching  
Station Project  
Best Western Holiday Plaza, Richmond, KY  
July 11, 2006

Recorded by:

Name: John W. Anderson / Karen Anderson

Address: 3381 Perry Rogers Rd. Laroson, Ky 40444

Comments/Questions:

Any New Line should follow EXISTING  
RIGHTS of way. WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS.

WE have ENOUGH land TAKEN up by ROADS,  
GAS LINES & POWER LINE RIGHTS of way NOW.





## Comments/Questions

U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development,  
Utilities Programs (Rural Utilities Service)  
Scoping Meeting

Smith – West Garrard 345kV Transmission Line and Switching  
Station Project  
Best Western Holiday Plaza, Richmond, KY  
July 11, 2006

Recorded by: Bruce Murrey

Name: STEVE MACIONEUX

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments/Questions: HAS KU LINE ACROSS

PROPERTY IF A NEW EASEMENT IS REQUIRED  
WILL PROPERTY OWNER BE COMPENSATED?



### Comments/Questions

U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development,  
Utilities Programs (Rural Utilities Service)  
Scoping Meeting

Smith – West Garrard 345kV Transmission Line and Switching  
Station Project  
Best Western Holiday Plaza, Richmond, KY  
July 11, 2006

Must be received by August 10<sup>th</sup>

- 1 Will this effect the people living in this area health wise
- 2 Could it have been built in some other area rather than here?
- 3 Is there a possibility that it will or can be located some place else
- 4 I understand there will be a noise

Optional: Name: will be annoying.

Address: Mary A. Kraus 1243 Boone Rd

If you would like to take this form with you, please mail to:

Stephanie A. Strength  
USDA, Rural Utilities Service,  
Engineering & Environmental Staff  
1400 Independence Ave. SW  
Mail Stop 1571, Room 2244  
Washington, DC 20250-1570

202-720-0468 or [stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov](mailto:stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov)

For further information please visit: <http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/ea.htm>

*Lancaster, Ky.*





### Comments/Questions

U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development,  
Utilities Programs (Rural Utilities Service)  
Scoping Meeting

Smith – West Garrard 345kV Transmission Line and Switching  
Station Project  
Best Western Holiday Plaza, Richmond, KY  
July 11, 2006

Must be received by August 10<sup>th</sup>

*The new right-of-way between Buckley sub-station and a proposed new Huge sub-station at the corner of Danville Road and Barnes Creek Road in Garrard County should not be built. It is wrong to use federal tax dollars to cause such economic, cultural, and scenic damage at this spot which reaches all across the North end - from East to West - of the county seat town of Lancaster, KY.*

Optional: Name: *Bob and Ann Crawford*

Address: *P.O. Box 603, Lancaster, KY 40444*

If you would like to take this form with you, please mail to:

Stephanie A. Strength  
USDA, Rural Utilities Service,  
Engineering & Environmental Staff  
1400 Independence Ave. SW  
Mail Stop 1571, Room 2244  
Washington, DC 20250-1570

202-720-0468 or [stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov](mailto:stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov)

For further information please visit: <http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/ea.htm>



### Comments/Questions

U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development,  
Utilities Programs (Rural Utilities Service)  
Scoping Meeting

Smith – West Garrard 345kV Transmission Line and Switching  
Station Project  
Best Western Holiday Plaza, Richmond, KY  
July 11, 2006

Must be received by August 10<sup>th</sup>

*I have a farm on the corner of Red Horse Rd  
and Three Forks Rd in Madison County. In the early 1990's  
East Ky Power ran an easement through my property  
and they were very difficult to work with. I had refused  
to give them an easement if they would have moved  
the line closer to my property line, however, they refused.  
I now have two power line easements crossing the same  
80 acre field, one from KU and the other from East Ky Power*

Optional: Name: Larry P. Jones

Address: 200 Bennett Ct. Richmond Ky 40475

If you would like to take this form with you, please mail to:

Stephanie A. Strength  
USDA, Rural Utilities Service,  
Engineering & Environmental Staff  
1400 Independence Ave. SW  
Mail Stop 1571, Room 2244  
Washington, DC 20250-1570

202-720-0468 or [stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov](mailto:stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov)

For further information please visit: <http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/ea.htm>





## Comments/Questions

U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development,  
Utilities Programs (Rural Utilities Service)  
Scoping Meeting

Smith – West Garrard 345kV Transmission Line and Switching  
Station Project  
Best Western Holiday Plaza, Richmond, KY  
July 11, 2006

Recorded by: GARRY HARVEY

Name: FRED SIMPSON

Address: JIM CLARK RD IN GARRARD CO.

Comments/Questions: MR. SIMPSON WAS INTERESTED IN THE  
ROUTE OF THE LINE IN RELATION TO HIS FARM AND  
ALSO HIS DISTRICT → HE IS A MAGISTRATE. WE JUST  
WENT THROUGH THE DISPLAYS AND THE STUDY CORRIDORS.  
HE HAD A FEW QUESTIONS BUT NOT MANY COMMENTS.



### Comments/Questions

U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development,  
Utilities Programs (Rural Utilities Service)  
Scoping Meeting

Smith – West Garrard 345kV Transmission Line and Switching  
Station Project  
Best Western Holiday Plaza, Richmond, KY  
July 11, 2006

Recorded by:

Name: DAVE GERREIN

Address: MADISON CO.

Comments/Questions: WOULD LIKE TO SEE US  
FOLLOW EXISTING R/W.





## Comments/Questions

U. S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development,  
Utilities Programs (Rural Utilities Service)  
Scoping Meeting

Smith – West Garrard 345kV Transmission Line and Switching  
Station Project  
Best Western Holiday Plaza, Richmond, KY  
July 11, 2006

Recorded by: GARRY HARVEY

Name: MARY LOU & CHARLES HILTON

Address: NEAR RIVA RD RD IN RICHMOND

Comments/Questions: APPEARS THAT THEIR PROPERTY

WILL PROBABLY NOT BE AFFECTED. MR. HILTON ADVISED

OF AN AREA WHERE SOME DEVELOPMENT IS BEGINNING

NEAR MCCORD LN. NORTH WEST OF RICHMOND.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Joe Settles  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 24, 2006 9:49 AM  
**To:** 'saundrac2@aol.com'  
**Subject:** Comments on Smith - West Garrard Project

Jim,

Thanks for the call regarding the Smith - West Garrard project. I would appreciate receiving any comments you have in writing. You can either send me a letter or an email. Also, if you wish to provide the Rural Utilities Service your comments directly, the contact information is below. I have also attached a comment form that can be mailed to RUS. From our conversation, I understand your concerns to be threefold:

- 1 - EMF - Electromagnetic fields, health and safety concerns
- 2 - Acquiring more right-of-way than needed
- 3 - The proposed line will cross an existing TVA gas line - safety concerns

Here is the RUS contact information:

Stephanie A. Strength  
USDA, Rural Utilities Service,  
Engineering & Environmental Staff  
1400 Independence Ave. SW  
Mail Stop 1571, Room 2244  
Washington, DC 20250-1570  
Stephanie.Strength@wdc.usda.gov



Comment  
Form2.ppt

Thanks again for the call. I hope to see you at the open house.  
Thanks,  
Joe

Joe Settles  
Supervisor, Natural Resources  
and Environmental Communications  
East KY Power Cooperative  
4775 Lexington Road  
Winchester, KY 40391  
Work: 859-745-9256  
Mobile: 859-771-3303  
Fax: 859-744-6008  
Email: joe.settles@ekpc.coop



-----Original Message-----

**From:** saundrac2@aol.com [mailto:saundrac2@aol.com]

**Sent:** Friday, August 25, 2006 11:54 AM

**To:** Stephanie.Strength@wdc.usda.gov

**Cc:** Joe Settles

**Subject:** EKPC Smith- West Garrard construction project

Ms. Strength;

EKPC has had a notification in the Winchester Sun newspaper inviting property owners that may be affected by the new Smith - West Garrard project to make known their questions and concerns. My wife and I own property in Madison County that most probably will be involved in the construction. We contacted EKPC with some of our concerns, they suggested we should also inform you so that RUS will be aware that we may have some comments at the next open house scheduled for August 31. We believe all our comments and concerns can be satisfactorily responded to by EKPC's staff, however, we ask you to be aware of our intention to make some comments. Some of our comments may be as follows, others may be apparent after we see the design and how it may affect our property:

Specifically we are concerned about EMF and stray voltage, health and safety issues.

Line design as it relates to the amount of right of way easement needed.

The line location as it relates to an existing Texas Eastern high pressure gas transmission pipe line that crosses our property.

Mr. and Mrs. James Caudill

**Strength, Stephanie - Washington, DC**

---

**From:** Joe Settles [joe.settles@ekpc.coop]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 30, 2006 3:49 PM  
**To:** Plank, Mark - Washington, DC  
**Cc:** Strength, Stephanie - Washington, DC  
**Subject:** FW: EKPC Power Line Projects in Clark, Madison and Garrard Counties and proposed CFB at Smith Station

FYI

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Robert Ukeiley [mailto:rukeiley@igc.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 30, 2006 3:21 PM  
**To:** Joe Settles  
**Subject:** EKPC Power Line Projects in Clark, Madison and Garrard Counties and proposed CFB at Smith Station

Joe Settles,  
EKPC  
4775 Lexington Road,  
Winchester, KY 40391  
[Joe.settles@ekpc.coop](mailto:Joe.settles@ekpc.coop)

Dear Mr. Settles:

On behalf of my client, the Sierra Club, I am requesting to be a consulting party with regard to the NEPA and other environmental analysis for the proposed transmission lines in Clark, Madison and Garrard Counties and the proposed coal-fired unit at the J. K. Smith Station.

Please send me notification of actions at the below address or via e-mail that this e-mail address. I appreciate your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert Ukeiley  
Counsel for Sierra Club

Robert Ukeiley  
Law Office of Robert Ukeiley  
433 Chestnut Street  
Berca, KY 40403  
Tel: (859) 986-5402  
Fax: (859) 986-1299

9/13/2006





*"Shadowlawn"*

Phillip & Deirdre Price

233 Royalty Drive ♦ Lancaster, KY 40444

Phone: (859) 339-1454 ♦ Fax: (859) 792-6057

E-mail: [sherlock221@alltel.net](mailto:sherlock221@alltel.net)

---

August 11, 2006

Stephanie Strength  
Environmental Protection Specialist, USDA  
Rural Development, Utilities Programs  
Engineering and Environmental Staff  
1400 Independence Avenue, SW  
Stop 1571  
Washington, DC 20250-1571

Dear Ms. Strength:

The attached letter and photos were sent to you via e-mail on the morning of August 10, meeting the deadline for comments. Since we are unsure if the e-mail arrived, we are also sending this via U.S. Postal Service. These items are being submitted for your review and consideration of our position regarding your proposed overhead transmission lines and substation in Garrard County.

As detailed in the attached letter, we feel these lines would mar the natural beauty, as well as presenting a health hazard to those living nearby. We would support legislation to require the lines to be run underground, as was done in the State of Connecticut in 2004.

Copies of this letter have also been sent to Governor Ernie Fletcher, Representative Lonnie Napier, House District 36 and East Kentucky Power Co-op.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Phillip R. Price

Deirdre L. Price

Enclosures



### *"Shadowlawn"*

Phillip & Deirdre Price

233 Royalty Drive ♦ Lancaster, KY 40444

Phone: (859) 339-1454 ♦ Fax: (859) 792-6057

E-mail: [sherlock221@alltel.net](mailto:sherlock221@alltel.net)

---

August 9, 2006

Stephanie Strength  
Environmental Protection Specialist  
USDA  
Rural Development, Utilities Programs  
Engineering and Environmental Staff  
1400 Independence Avenue, SW  
Stop 1571  
Washington, DC 20250-1571

Dear Ms. Strength:

This letter is written to express our family's opposition to the planned transmission line that will originate in Clark County, Kentucky, cross Madison County, and terminate at a planned substation near KY-52 and Boone's Creek Road, just outside of Lancaster, Garrard County, Kentucky. It is our understanding that lines will be strung from towers over 100 feet in height that will stretch from the substation near Lancaster to the Buckeye area of Garrard County, crossing US-27 near Sugar Creek Road.

The locations at KY-52/Boone's Creek Road and US-27/Sugar Creek Road lead us to believe that those monstrous towers will pass very near our property.

My wife and I moved to Kentucky from the Washington, DC, area following retirement after 25 years of federal service. We purchased secluded, wooded acreage, where we built our dream retirement home. We fled city living for this rural, pastoral environment to escape from the "concrete jungle" with its traffic congestion, pollution, and many miles of the same type of ugly high voltage towers that might now possibly end up in our backyard or very near it. Since East Kentucky Power



Co-op (EKPC) seems reluctant to divulge the exact route of this line, we can't be entirely sure of the extent of impact to our property. We do know that there is a very good possibility that this terrible eyesore (and health hazard) may be visible from our home, as well as those of many other Garrard County residents.

We believe that EKPC and the USDA have handled this initiative poorly, and it gave the appearance of subterfuge. Until last week, the only scheduled meeting, to our knowledge, was held at Richmond in Madison County, KY, with minimal press coverage. Only after the meeting had already occurred were we made aware of a small article in the Lexington Herald-Leader. There was no announcement to Garrard County residents in the local newspaper prior to the meeting in Madison County, and no one of our personal acquaintance in this county had any prior knowledge of this meeting.

A meeting was subsequently held in Lancaster last week at the First Southern Bank. During that meeting local residents asked many good questions, but gained very little information from EKPC representatives. It appears that the die is cast without consideration of the concerns of those citizens most impacted by the proposed initiative, the people of Garrard County. Imminent Domain rules without heart, and without due consideration of the concerns of those most affected. I saw so much of this in my federal career and throughout my life – big government, big business and/or big utilities running roughshod over the poor, the middle class, the weak and/or under-represented "little guy." It certainly does seem that less affluent, rural, agricultural, low density areas are often taken advantage of.

It appears this power line will be forced upon us, irregardless of our objections. In fact, at the Lancaster meeting, an EDPK representative hinted at this by basically stating that it was going to happen one way or another. The proposed power lines and substation will spoil our rural scenic beauty and destroy what my wife and I have worked a lifetime to achieve and own. We know there are others in this same position within the county, who came here planning to spend the rest of their lives in their dream home. Garrard County will not benefit one kilowatt from this project, but yet will be forced to bear the burden of its unsightliness, the diminished property values, not to mention the effect on the health of residents.

If EKPC insists on proceeding with this project to serve their customers in other counties, we hope there will at least be consideration given to buried lines. We know this can be done and, as I'm sure you're aware, there are studies to support our position.

It would seem to us that it makes more sense to bury the lines, rather than expose them to the harsh elements, accidents involving airplanes or vehicles, or even vandalism. In 2004, there was an independent review in Connecticut of a proposed 345 KV power line upgrade that showed it was technically feasible to bury 10 to 20 miles of lines underground. Nick Comer, spokesman for EKPC, stated in the Lexington Herald-Leader article that burying the lines isn't feasible because it costs more than traditional overhead lines. We note that the planned line would stretch 35-37 miles. Based on the amount of monthly electric bills, we suspect that EKPC is in no financial distress. Additionally, adding new customers as a result of the planned line will generate even more revenue. EKPC fills the needs of energy users; however, it is not in the business out of any civic duty or responsibility, but rather to increase the stock value of the company and its investors. Money is always the bottom line. We would hope that the USDA would not let this project go forth based upon EKPC's monetary considerations alone.

This is a beautiful, scenic county, rich with abundant and varied wildlife species. It would be hard to gauge the impact of these high-voltage lines on the wildlife in this area. We are enclosing a photograph taken very near the proposed high-voltage towers and substation, so that you can see for yourself the scenic richness of the area. Can you imagine this scene being despoiled for untold miles by a wide path of mowed-down trees, monstrous 100-foot high-voltage towers and a huge, ugly substation? We're sure you can see our point.

We also object to the towers, not only for aesthetic reasons, but for possible devaluation of property values. There are studies whose findings reveal that when property/homes are located adjacent to or very near the towers, the value of that property can deteriorate by as much as 21%. While we have no plans to ever sell our home, perhaps some of our neighbors might need to do so in the future. My wife, who is younger than me, may find herself in that position someday also. The financial impact could be devastating.

We have also read studies dealing with the negative health effects on people living at or near high-voltage towers. Studies from across the U.S. and Europe, including a recent Oxford University study, show the link between magnetic fields greater than 2-4 MG and cancer. Children whose birth address was within 200 meters of an overhead power line had a 70% increased risk of leukemia. Children living 200 to 600 meters away still have a 20% increased risk of developing cancer.

Also, based on a Pacific Northwest Natural Laboratory study involving rats and ozone, scientists identified a chemical reaction that might explain higher rates of illness observed among people exposed to strong electromagnetic fields, such as those produced by high-voltage power lines.

In addition, a California Department of Health Sciences Evaluation study concluded EMFs can cause some degree of increased risk of childhood leukemia, adult brain cancer, Lou Gehrig's Disease, miscarriages, and perhaps even suicide. This particular 2002 study was the culmination of a 9-year, \$7 million research effort. According to the study, "The evaluation used was a standard causation, which is a more rigorous test than the more common standard that seeks to demonstrate an association between EMFs and many of these diseases."

There are also studies showing disruption to cardiac pacemakers, and I happen to have an implanted pacemaker myself.

We can understand and appreciate the need to serve the nation's energy requirements; however, we believe it is possible to do so and still reduce the impact on the environment and those living in it, both humans and animals. "Invisible" underground power lines are a feasible and revolutionary approach to power transmission. In fact, a company called ABB USA promotes their "no EMF technology, delivering reliable, 'invisible' energy without any electromagnetic fields" through the use of underground power lines.

Other states have successfully fought the above ground transmission lines. Connecticut Governor John G. Rowland signed legislation in 2004 that requires new high-voltage lines to be buried, including a controversial project proposed by two major utilities. Both the state House and Senate overwhelmingly approved the restrictive measure that was hailed as the toughest in the country when it comes to regulating transmission lines. State Senator Winthrop Smith (R-Milford) said, "It's outstanding. The power companies kept saying, 'We can't do this. No one else has done this.' and we said, 'Oh, yes we can' – and we did." This information came from an article in the *Journal Register News Service*, 05/07/2004.

The article went on to say, "The bill was proposed by a dozen New Haven County lawmakers in response to plans by Connecticut Light & Power and United Illuminating to upgrade a 69-mile transmission line from Middletown to Norwalk. The



utilities said the upgrade, from 115 kilovolts to 345 kilovolts, was needed to improve energy reliability in Fairfield County, which was consuming increasing amounts of electric power."

State Senator Len Fasano (R-North Haven) stated: "This is a victory for the people in all the impacted towns. Nearly everyone who spoke to me about this legislation expressed concern over the health consequences of the electromagnetic fields. That's why we included language requiring lines be placed underground unless NU and IU can prove it's safe not to. The onus is now on them, which is how it should be."

In our opinion, there should be a federal law like the state law in Connecticut requiring big utility companies to prove, through independent studies, the safety of overhead power lines to people and the environment – and requiring that power lines be buried underground.

It appears that the reason there is still a perception that power lines are not dangerous, despite all the evidence otherwise, is because rich corporations reward lobbyists and scientists handsomely for distorting scientific evidence in order to advance the corporations' economic interests. This is all too common a practice in our country today, as discussed in a June 2005 article in the *Scientific American*.


We're sure that we speak for many other Garrard County residents, some of whom may feel that they don't have the power to "fight City Hall." Our cause is pure and intended to make suggestions to arrive at the best possible answer to a bad situation.

We appreciate your consideration of our letter and welcome your comments.

Sincerely,




Phillip R. Price



Deirdre L. Price

cc: Kentucky Governor Ernie Fletcher  
State Rep. Lonnie Napier  
East Kentucky Power Cooperative

*Garrard County, KY  
View from KY-52*



*Photo by Deirdre Price*

***Garrard County, KY  
View from KY-52***



*Photo by Deidre Price*



EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
2	J. Todd & Billie L.	Scobee		
3, 5d	Russell & Cherie	Tracy		
4	William M. & Janice N.	Alexander		
5a, 5b	Tracy & Yana	Hoover	T. Mumm: Hoovers prefer line to parallel on north side. Hoovers complained about activities of EKPC contractor on previous project.	EKPC proposes to parallel existing line on north side on #5a, #5b.
5c	Donnie & Phyllis	Lainhart		
6, 7	Kathlyn	Friend	H.K. Cunningham: Ms. Friend wants gravel on land where Smith-Lake Reba crosses Clark Energy line. A pond bank and nuts need to be repaired.	T. Hayes of EKPC contacted Ms. Friend on 11-21-06 and discussed repairing roadway, fields and pond bank.
8	James E. & Betty Jane	Short		
9	Billy R. & Janet M.	Short	B. Grillon: Shorts have a cabin on the edge of the corridor. Shorts and EKPC have not settled on first line. Shorts say fences were damaged and roads left with trenches in them. Felt compensation on last line crossing was not fair.	EKPC to resolve easement damages on existing right-of-way.
10	Thomas & Susan	Clark		
11	G.E.	Black		
12, 15, 16	Reubin Jr. & Sherill	Bailey	G. Harvey: Mr. Bailey is unhappy with the project. He wants to lease property to EKPC instead of granting an easement. He said he prefers that new line be located on north side of existing line.	EKPC's proposed route is to the north of existing line.
13	J.C. & Ida O.	Wall		
14, 17	Edith	Smith		

EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
19	Tanya Baker	Witt	<p>M.J.J. Warner: After Sept. 5, call Duane Curry, codes enforcement officer at Madison County Planning &amp; Zoning, to see if EKPC should go to meeting 1st or 3rd Tuesday. Dr. Witt prefers brown steel. Clean up structures north of I-75 at 1st exit; erosion concerns; rebuild if possible. Water regulations in county. Would prefer EKPC build new double-circuit line, then move circuit over and tear down old line and poles.</p> <p>T. Mumm: Dr. Witt would prefer rebuild because of erodable soil. Parallel on the north. I-75 crossing is messy. EKPC should meet with county planning board. Email contour map to [REDACTED]</p>	<p>R. Terrill emailed a contour map to Dr. Witt. In a followup phone conversation with Terrill, Dr. Witt said a field visit would not be necessary.</p> <p>N. Comer and R. Terrill made a presentation to the Madison County Planning and Zoning Commission on Dec. 5, 2006. Several commission members expressed a preference that EKPC rebuild existing transmission lines rather than co-locating beside existing lines.</p> <p>EKPC is taking photos to document possible erosion.</p> <p>On EKPC Parcel #21, EKPC considered routing line on south side but would impact improvements on Parcel #22. In addition, would require guys.</p>
20	Harold B. & Martha H.	Barton	B. Murrey: Bartons prefer line on south side. OK to survey. 859- [REDACTED]	Line could not be located south of existing line due to buildings on adjacent property.
21, 29	Harold & Evelyn	Harris	<b>Returned open house survey.</b> T. Mumm: Mr. Harris prefers transmission line be located on south side with no guy wires. Keep out of fields.	
22	Joan	Shackelford		
23	William B. & Carlavon L.	Wells	B. Grillon: Property owner had questions about easement negotiations, which were addressed.	
24	William Haden & Ruby	Harris	D. Adams: Mr. Harris' father owns #21 & 31. The existing line has poles that have taken away good pasture land. Preference is for new line to be south of the existing line. Also, wants poles located so as not to take away good pasture land on his father's parcels. Wants to be notified about plans on his father's parcels.	Structures will be located outside of agricultural operations, if possible. Line could not be located south of existing line due to buildings on adjacent property.
25		Hart Farms Ltd.		
26	Jephtha & Genevieve H.	Fortney	T. Mumm: Fortneys prefer line be located on south side. On previous occasions, have had problems with access, cutting fences and leaving gates open.	Buildings on Parcel #22 limit ability to move south.
27	Pamela & Gloria Crabtree	Love		
28, 30	Terry Allen & Rosana	Wilson		
31	Harold	Harris	<b>Returned open house survey.</b> T. Mumm: Mr. Harris said he does not have a preference which side line is located.	
32, 33	Orval M.	Reid		

EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
34	Verna	Eaton		
35	Charles J. and Nicole M.	Neeley		
36	Donald G. & Sheile	Edwards		
37	Ruby	Pearson		
38	Steven W.	Brassfield	<p><b>R. Terrill:</b> Land a little rougher. OK to survey.</p> <p><b>G. Harvey:</b> Mr. Brassfield's property is off the right of way but he is concerned about static on fences and metal roof. He prefers the line be on side away from his house.</p> <p><b>B. Grillon:</b> There is a 24" gas line on the western property line. Caudills prefer use of non-restricted herbicides.</p> <p><b>M.J. Warner:</b> Ms. Caudill wants advance calls on entry and for future maintenance. She wants to discuss restrictions on access path to easement and has concerns about "issues" vs. "money." She asked if there will be more circuits in future and expressed preference for double circuiting line. She also asked about other opportunities for input.</p> <p><b>J. Settles:</b> The Caudills are concerned about vultures roosting on structures.</p> <p><b>P. Dolloff:</b> Ms. Caudill has concerns about EMF and was offered materials.</p> <p>T. Hayes of EKPC met with Mr. Caudill on 11-16-06 to discuss a number of issues including the use of herbicides and entry to property.</p>	Proposed route is located on side away from house.
39	Sandra V.	Caudill		
40	Darrell N. & Sarah M. Nolan	Cosby		



EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
41, 42	James P. & Wavylen	Wells		
43	Paul & Mildred	Wells		
44	Michael O. & Lisa G.	Russell		
45	Herbert	Wolfinbarger	D. Ballard: B. Saia is considering purchasing this property. D. Adams: Mr. Reams had concerns about EMF that were addressed by P. Dolloff. G. Harvey: Mr. & Mrs. Eirich live in doublewide on property. They are OK with project. The Reams property is slightly outside the study area.	
46	Ronald G. & Brenda P.	Reams		
47	Keith Turpin & Betty B.	Parke		
48	Cecil F. & Lillian J.	Sword	G. Harvey: Mr. Sword has no problem with the project. The existing line only clips his property. Looks like line will be on side away from house.	
49	Robert M. & Linda P.	Brant	D. Ballard: Not affected.	
50	Gene Alan	Bunch	D. Ballard: Mr. Bunch prefers line be located on northwest side of transmission line. OK to survey.	
51	Michael W. & Alice E.	Murphy	R. Terrill: Property is inside the corridor.	
52	Bennie Sue & Bobby R.	Helton		
53	Howard	Rader		
54	Edward T.	Stampert		
	Mary A.			

EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
55, 57	Allen D.	Grant		EKPC to verify ownership. City of Richmond might have purchased property.
56	Toby R. & Karen	Webb		EKPC to verify ownership of this parcel. City of Richmond might have purchased it.
58	Dorothy F.	Johnstone		
59	Mark F. & Carolyn Sue	Sweet		
60	Charles T. & Patsy N.	Baker		
61	Mitzi A. & Felix A.	Talamantez		
62	Thomas	Masters	<b>Returned open house survey.</b> T. Mumm noted "Thomas Masters" for this parcel. B. Grillon: Noted "Tom Masters" Property owner is planning on lot for his retirement. The north side of the parcel is preferred. Parcels #55 & #56 owned by city.	Cannot move around other properties because of impact on other property owners.
63	Dan C & Patti C.	Reynolds	T. Mumm: Not affected.	
64	Gary D. & Marianne	Reams		
65	Elinor Ann Hisle	Routt	H.K. Cunningham: Ms. Routt has concerns about EMF. EMF issues were addressed at open house.	
66	Stephen & Susan	Wells	G. Harvey: Outside of study area.	
67	Jack	Adams		
68, 70		Millard Jones Life Estate	B. Sharp: Mr. Jones said he wanted original line to be on northern property line.	EKPC proposed to construct line parallel to north of existing line.
69	W.H.	Coleman		EKPC to verify mailing address.
71	Laura G.	Fenton		
72	Evan H. & Lillian F.	McCord		
73	Coleman BOR	Witt		
74	Evan H. & Lillian F.	McCord	D. Ballard: Prefers route on northern side of existing transmission line. Cell: [REDACTED].	Proposed Route on North side of existing line.

EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
75		William McCord East	<b>D. Ballard:</b> Prefers route on northern side of existing transmission line. Cell: [REDACTED]	Proposed Route on North side of existing line.
76		Deatherage & Deatherage		
77	Peyton R.	Harrison		
78	Shirley	Durbin		
79	Charles J. and Nicole M.	Neeley		
80		Roundtree Corp.	<b>D. Adams:</b> Roundtree Corp. owns property. Market Street Timber Inc. is long-term lessee. They have stacks of timber. Four concerns: 1) They often move logs around with cherry pickers 25-30 feet in height. 2) They plan to build a storage building on higher elevation property. 3) Log crane is used to stack logs. 4) Use wireless handheld devices and concerned about interference.	Not being crossed.
81	Wayne	Lake	<b>G. Harvey:</b> Donis Home owns property in several different locations. Prefers this property be crossed if one has to be. She would not give a preferred location for crossing this parcel.	Herbicides policy on parcel will address in easement negotiation.
82, 83		Hager Family Limited Partnership	<b>J. Settles:</b> Ms. Home is concerned about herbicides and application, especially that applicators would cause damage.	Not being crossed. Sent letter to that effect.
84	Neville T. & Josephine	Cotton	<b>B. Grillon:</b> Property owners wanted information about route. There are townhouses in corner of parcel.	
85		Smith Children Irrevocable Trust	<b>T. Mumm:</b> Property is being developed. No problem with corridor.	
			<b>R. Terrill:</b> Smiths prefer line go along north property line. There is already one line and a gas line. Prefer the Newby line.	EKPC to verify property line.



EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
86	Richard Igo	Carr	R. Terrill: There is a gas line on the property. Mr. Carr does not want on northern part of property.	Proposed route will not cross northern portion of property. EKPC will verify property line and gas line.
87	C.S.	Wagers		
88, 89	Richard IV & Martha J.	Cobb	T. Mumm: Cobbs prefer that new line parallel existing line, if possible. If not, they prefer that line follow property line.	EKPC's proposed route does not cross #88 or #89.
90	David L. & Harriette	Williams		
91	Klinton	Gilkerson		
92	Darrell	Wells	B. Sharp: OK to survey	
93	W. Denyce	Wells	B. Sharp: OK to survey	
94	Dwayne	Wells	B. Sharp: OK to survey	
95	W. Dwayne	Wells	B. Sharp: OK to survey	
96	Ardie & Sheila	Wathan		
97, 102	James R.	Kelley	H.K. Cunningham: Mr. Kelley does not have a preference which side of the existing line the new line goes on. May lose a barn.	
98, 104	James & Linda	Kelley		
99, 101	Alvin Jr. & Virginia	Foster		
100	David & Donna	Rhodus		
103, 105	Lynda H.	Stivers	G. Harvey: OK to survey. Call Ms. Stivers or Don Kelley [REDACTED], who takes care of property.	
106, 107	Barry & Joyce	Roberts	D. Ballard: Mr. Roberts said he would prefer the transmission line be located on the other side of the corridor.	EKPC's proposed route does not cross #106 or #107.
108	Jerry D. & Beverly Ann	Gunn		
109	Thomas E. & Deborah S.	Lane		
110, 112	Stevie David & Jamie Lynn	Caldwell		
111	David E.	Phillips		
113	Michael & Peggy	Azbill	D. Ballard: Azbills said either side of existing transmission line is OK. OK to survey. Phone: [REDACTED] J. Settles: Azbills said access road is washing out and they want to know if EKPC can help maintain road.	T. Hayes of EKPC contacted Mr. Azbill on 11-28-06 and discussed road maintenance issues.

EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
114	Darrell	Osborne	T. Mumm: Mr. Osborne prefers new line stay south of existing line, and just south of barn is even better.	Proposed route will not cross #114.
115	Rhonda	Rule		
116	Arthur & Hazel	Turner		
117	Frank & Mertie	Jordan		
118	Melissa & Sarah	Turner		
119	Leonard & Linda	Robinson	D. Adams: Robinsons are concerned about EMF issues. One of them (or a neighbor) is a cancer survivor. (EMF concerns were addressed at open house.) R. Terrill: Parcel is in a subdivision.	
120	Sandra	Culpepper	R. Terrill: Parcel is in a subdivision.	Proposed route will not cross #120.
121	Max & Bonita	Kraft		
122	Donald & Catherine	Rosenfarb		
123	Albert	Robinson		
124	Roland	Comer		
125 & 135	Thomas M. & Margaret Ruth	Fox		
126	Robert & Deborah	Griffin		
127, 128	Jeffery & Michelle	Taylor		
129	Robert & Janis	Day		
130		Lionel Hill C/O Bonnie Hill		

EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
131	Avery & Susan	Mullins		
132	Delbert & Carolyn B.	Ousley		
133		Coy & Jones		
134		GMR LLC		
136	Ardith	Ross		
137	Wallace	Reams		
138, 142	Joseph B.	Howard	G. Harvey: Mr. Howard said it is OK as long as line does not cut through the middle of the farm. Begin paralleling near east property line. (One property line shown on the open house maps is incorrect.) Parallel on the south if possible.	EKPC's proposed route parallels to south of existing line.
139, 141	Billy & Linda	Curtis		
140	Vernon & Lois	Mathis		
143	Barbara Jean	Smith		
144	Elvin & Angela	Smith		
145	Paul & Becky	Reams		
146	Eddie & Tammi	Warren		
147	Elizabeth A.	Doll		
148	Betty Jo	Harvey		
149, 150, 152, 153	James Darby & Rosemary	Harmon	H.K. Cunningham: Tracts will not be affected. Will be within sight. Mr. Harmon had EMF questions.	Harmons were mailed a packet of information regarding EMF by N. Comer on 11-6-06
151	Cleveland Jr. & Ima Jean LE	Perkins	B. Murrey: Parcel is outside of corridor.	
154, 160	Shirley W. & Cleo F.	Durbin		
155, 156	Durrane	Foster		
157		Pinpoint		
158	Charles & Betty	Properties LLC	B. Grillon: EKPC is crossing corner of property.	
159	Billy G. & Brenda K.	Dargavell		



EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
161, 163	Kenneth & Dorothy	Tudor	<b>D. Ballard:</b> Mr. Tudor plans to subdivide #163 into 20 lots. Prefers transmission line be located on south side of corridor in treeline. OK to survey. Also owns part of #160. Letter from attorney dated 9-5-06: EKPC can deal directly with Mr. Tudor but copy all correspondence to attorney (David L. Bohannon, Sword, Floyd & Moody, 218 W. Main St. Richmond, KY 40476-0300)	EKPC to verify with Mr. Tudor that it is OK to copy correspondence to attorney.
164	Kerri	Isaacs		
165	Jason & Kelli Stearman	Wright		
166	Mark & Elizabeth	Morrow		Double circuit was considered but was ruled out because of economic feasibility and proximity to houses on #164 and #165.
167	Sue G.	Riley	<b>B. Murrey:</b> OK to survey, but call first, [REDACTED] Mr. Morrow prefers double circuit and objects to greenfield crossing.	B. Gillon met with Mr. Morrow on 1-30-07 to discuss options for adjusting proposed route.
168	Darrell & Janice	Reece		
169	Gene & Ruth	Morris	<b>M.J. Warner:</b> Spoke with Mr. Jones: There are four houses on the same tract. It is a trust. It's not likely affected. He wanted to see structure type.	
170		Unknown		
171	Jonathon Scott & Jane	Guiley	<b>B. Sharp:</b> Possibly will not affect.	
172	Terry & Emily	Agee		
173	J.B. & Louise	Agee		
174, 176	Roscoe & Louise	Winkler		
175	W. Cloyd	Short		
177	Greeley B. & Larry Donald	Long		
178	Russell Jr. & Stephanie	Carson		EKPC to verify ownership.
179	William F.	Curtis		

EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
180, 181	Don & Janice	Long	R. Terrill: Owner prefers EKPC build double-circuit line. No problem to parallel to the south. Do not enter field if it is wet.	EKPC proposes to rebuild existing line on #180 & #181...
182	Rachael L.	Hovermale	G. Harvey: Ms. Hovermale does not want another line on her property.	
183	Willard T. & Debra J.	Simpson		
184	Julius J. Jr. & Velinda S.	Roman		
185	Roy	Rogers	B. Murrey: Mr. Rogers said it is OK to survey but call first, [REDACTED] If line is paralleled, Mr. Rogers prefers new line be on south side.	EKPC proposes to rebuild existing line on #185.
186	Ruth Masters	Chandler		
187	Barry J. & Wayne L.	Webb	R. Terrill: Mr. Webb prefers north side. Tom Hayes to call about spraying. Work [REDACTED] home: [REDACTED]	T. Hayes of EKPC contacted Mr. Webb on 10-22-06 and discussed herbicide application and entry to property.
188	Jerry & Dorothy	Edington		EKPC proposes to rebuild existing line on #187.
189	John	Cornelison		
190, 191, 192, 194		Minerich Inc.		

EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
195, 196	Shelby Joe & Ann	Masters	B. Murray: OK to survey. Masters prefer line on south side.	EKPC proposes to rebuild existing line on #195 & #196.
197, 200	Edward	Taylor		
193	Gordon & Sally J.	Cotton		
198	James R.	Cotton		
199	Alfred E. & Brandyn L.	Winkler		
201	William R.	Masters		
202	Kenneth & Brenda	Fowler		
203	Alco & Cleo	Ward		
204	James E. & Magalene	Tipton		
205	Wm. M. & Johny M.	Collins	D. Ballard: Mr. Collins had no problem with this location.	
206, 207	Lloyd Wilson	Rhodius		
208, 211	Norvaline Cates	Hale	B. Sharp: OK to survey but call first D. Ballard: Does not want transmission line located next to existing line. M.J.J. Warner: (Attended East open house in Richmond.) Ms. Cates had questions about EMF. Discussed health issues from news/press. Took EMF information. M. Stevens: OK to survey but call first. Ms. Hale has concerns about previous damage she says was done by EKPC.	EKPC proposes to rebuild the existing line on #208 (might cross) & #211.
209	Jess	East		EKPC to verify ownership.
210	Neal & Dona	Rogers	R. Terrill: Wrong owner.	EKPC to verify ownership.
212	Hogan	Moore	B. Murray: Property owner said when pole was replaced about one year ago, rocks were left in field. If EKPC parallels existing line, property owner prefers new line be on east side.	EKPC proposes to rebuild existing line on #212.
213	Edd	East		
214	Hogan & Pauline & Hogan	Moore		



EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
215	Glenmore	Prather	T. Mumm: Prefers rebuild, but if that is not possible, parallel to the southeast. Concerned about tearing up fences, clearing right-of-way.	EKPC proposes to rebuild existing line on #215.
216	Hogan & Pauline	Moore		
217	Jerome	Krumpelmon		
218	Marvin & Linda	Gill		
219	Priscilla Ann	Hawkins		
220	Newland Walker	May		
221, 225	William Kirby & Ann & Arthur	Teater	D. Ballard: Set up field meeting for 9-5-06 at 1 p.m.	EKPC personnel met in the field with Mr. Teater on Sept. 5, 2006.
222	Joe	Coker		
223	A.D.	East		
224	Raschell A.	Lemaster		
226, 251	Randy & Angie	Preston		
227, 231	Manford & Kathleen	Foley		
228, 229, 232, 243	F.C. & Alleta	Foley	T. Mumm: Foleys prefer that poles be set in fences, not in fields; go south of barn on #231, miss field on #232; colocate on either side if possible. D. Meadows: Foleys prefer that EKPC use existing line. If new line is necessary, they prefer it be outside fences on #243 and cross road south of barn and north of house on #231.	EKPC proposes to rebuild existing transmission line that crosses #228. A small relocation of existing line near #243 will result in an easement on corner of #243
230	Woody & Darrell	Rhodus		
233	Delbert	Newby		
234, 235, 237	Ronnie & Christine	Moberly		Centerline adjusted due to proximity to existing home.
236	Steven S. & Linda G.	Browning		
238	Fred & Hallie	Lear		
239		John Kennedy		
240	Carliss & Frances	Conley		
241	Laurence & Joyce	Land		
242	Dorothy	Long	B. Sharp: OK to survey but call first.	
244	Charles E. & Barbara	Ray	J. Settles: Prefer rebuild over parallel and prefer north route.	
245	William & Dora	Godber	R. Terrill: Prefer north route. Prefer rebuild. New owner.	EKPC plans to rebuild existing line on #244.
246	Gail	Stewart		

# Appendix C

## Summary of Open House Comments and EKPC Response

EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
247	Ethel D. & James Porter	Wilmot	B. Grillon: There is a shop on the property east of fields on west side. Mr. Wilmot would prefer line not be on the ridge. He is planning a pond. Contact about mitigation.	EKPC plans to rebuild existing line on #247.
248	James H. & Bruce	Warren		
249	James Henry	Warren		
250	James H. & Dorothy	Warren		
252, 276, 325, 326	Carlisle & Patricia A.	Stone	M.J. Warner: Stones asked about distance and EMF. Their home is near lines. They wanted to know about shielding by tractor cab. They took information and business card. B. Sharp: OK to survey but call first (cell: [REDACTED]). Stones are concerned about blind calves. Please remove old poles. Look at moving old guys near old hay rolls. J. Settles: Stones are concerned about herbicides and blind calves, as well as treatment on poles. On #325, move guy wires near hay field. M. Stevens: OK to survey, but call first. Shop: [REDACTED] -	T. Hayes of EKPC contacted Mr. Stone on 11-22-06 and discussed herbicide application, guy wires and removal of old poles from property.
253	Russell D. & Agnes	Purcell		
254	Roger	Purcell		
255, 267, 275, 282, 375		Teater Bros Inc	B. Sharp: Phone: [REDACTED]	EKPC personnel met in the field with William Teater on Sept. 5, 2006.
256	Patricia L. & Wm. Russell	Elmore	M. Stevens: Parcel has a newly built home that does not show up on map.	EKPC's proposed route does not cross #256.
257	Ronald & Theresa	Elwood		
258	Opal	McCulley		
259	Gary & Josephine	Ray	B. Sharp: Son has pacemaker.	
260	Terri Carter Odell	Murphy		
261	Othle W. & Barbara S.	Ray	B. Grillon: Gas line crosses property. Rays are concerned about grounding barns and fences. EKPC personnel addressed grounding of fences and buildings at open house.	
262	Steven M. & Faye M. Campbell	Vagasky	B. Grillon: Mr. Vagasky prefers line does not come up driveway or cut trees and says he is building house. He asked about constructing windmills. Responded that windmills are OK as long as they are not in easement.	EKPC's proposed route does not cross #262.
263	Eddie & Janet	Hasty		

EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
264		Mary Dorothy McCulley Trust		
265	Charles & Rita	Dillard		
266	Shannon & Judy	Hasty		
268	Henry R.	Kelly		
269	Kevin & Denna	Oliver	B. Sharp: OK to survey but call first [REDACTED], Tennessee Natural Gas pipeline.	
270	William E. & Paula D.	Hammock		
272, 273	Maurice & Keith	Middletton		
278, 279	Earl & Loretta	Gibson		
271	William B.	Kelly		
274	Mark & Elizabeth	Strunk		
277	David	Gibson		
280, 327	Dwight Denny & Patricia	Ray		
281	Paul J. & Jewell W.	Baker	R. Terrill: Bakers do not want line and do not want spraying of herbicides. They say there have been livestock problems since spraying. They also have concerns about EMF. J. Settles: Bakers said neighbor may have problems with cattle.	T. Hayes of EKPC contacted Mrs. Baker on 11-27-06 and discussed applications of herbicides and entry to property.
283, 284	Robert L. & Debbie	Tudor	Returned open house survey. B. Grillon: There is a barn on the edge of the corridor. Mr. Black said this parcel is the location of the John Hendrickson home site. D. Meadows: Mr. Black plans to use parcel as future home site for children. Parcel is site of 1770 John Hendrickson log cabin. M.J. Warner: Mr. Black is concerned about property value and future development. B. Sharp: Mr. Black is concerned about the route. He does not want the line. He would prefer line goes up the hollow. J. Settles: Mr. Black's home was an old log cabin. May need to investigate.	
285	Paul & Oneida	Black		EKPC's proposed route does not cross #285.
286	Ronnie	Broadbush		



EK Map No(s)	First name	Last name	Open House comments	EKPC Followup
287	Marc & Gilbert	Crech		
288	Dennis & Claudia	Smith		
			<p><b>Returned open house survey.</b></p> <p><b>B. Grillon:</b> Mr. Simpson said there is a 1796 home site on the property that belonged to Randolph Hall, a preacher.</p> <p><b>B. Sharp:</b> Mr. Simpson is concerned about the route. He does not want the line. See about Jim Clark Road along the top of the hill. There are potential historic sites.</p> <p><b>D. Meadows:</b> Mr. Simpson plans to use parcel as a future home site for his children. Parcel #289 was site of 1796 Randolph Hall house that was destroyed by fire in 1830.</p> <p><b>M.J. Warner:</b> Mr. Simpson was concerned about property value and ruining high development potential. He asked why not use northern route? Explained to him further assessment and EKPC's conclusions. Mr. Simpson asked about negotiating an alignment. Explained next steps, including notice to property owners with plat and CPCN application. Explained EKPC could work on location until then and with minor adjustment after PSC action, if approved.</p> <p><b>J. Settles:</b> Mr. Simpson has archaeological concerns about #289. He says a 1700s-era house burned in 1830 and he recovers pottery, etc., when plowing.</p>	EKPC's proposed route does not cross #289 or #296.
289, 296	Fred Logan	Simpson		
290	Jimmy Ray	Doolin		
291	Jeffrey & Kimberly	Black		
292	James E. & Bertha	Montgomery		
293, 295	Kenneth & Barbara	Montgomery		
294	John Wesley	Gorman		
297	Robin	Whisman		
298	Paula	Tuggle		
299	Paul N. & Kathy R.	Tuggle	<b>D. Meadows:</b> Tuggles said they prefer line go on southern part of property.	EKPC's proposed route does not cross #299.
300	Gordon W. & Katherine J.	Hasty		
301	Ronald & Pamela	Ray		
302	David Allen Jr.	Ray		
303	David A. Sr & Carol	Ray		